Smt. Narsamma Hirayya Arts, Commerce and Science College, Amravati
Curricular Committee 2021-22
Stakeholder's Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report
(Feedback of Teachers by Students)
Academic Session 2021-22

Date: 01-08-2022

The Committee:

The committee has following members

Dr. N. D. Gawande, Head	Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee,
Dr. A. P. Vaidya,	Dr. S. G. Deshpande,
Mrs. Sukhada Deshpande	

Preamble:

The stakeholder (student) feedback is very important to strengthen the quality of teaching learning process, facilities & environment available in the college for overall development of students in general and the institution in particular. It provides the institution an opportunity to improve performance of teachers in classroom engagement with student to bring excellence in teaching and learning process and improve on the facilities & environment.

The feedback for each semester is obtained and analyzed by the stakeholders (Student, Alumni, Parents and Teacher) of the institution for every semester within the academic session. This report contains analysis of feedback on teachers and College Library by students.

Process:

All the above feedback are obtained online by supplying a link for the feedback questionnaire to the Students. The recorded choices are then processed to obtain the feedback Analysis Report.

Submission:

This Feedback Analysis Report is discussed in staff council meeting. The report is then forwarded to IQAC for suggestions if any. Finally it is submitted to the Principal and College Development Committee (CDC) for its approval.

Action Taken:

Feedback Report of along with suggestions is submitted to the Principal and discussed in the staff meeting. The individual teacher's report is shared with the teachers. The feedback report is placed before the College Development Committee (CDC) for its approval. Thereafter letter of suggestions are issued to the individual teachers so that he / she can improve on respective low performing parameters till next feedback cycle.

Policy for Feedback:

For each question in an online questionnaire the student indicates his / her level of agreement by selecting available appropriate option.

Scale				
Choice (A)	Feedback Value = 90	Grade A	Excellent
Choice (B)	Feedback Value = 70	Grade B	Good
Choice (C)	Feedback Value = 50	Grade C	Average
Choice (D)	Feedback Value = 30	Grade D	fair
Choice (E)	Feedback Value = 10	Grade E	Poor

Questionnaire:

Part A: Curriculum Taught

1. The entire syllabus is completed in time
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

2. Modern teaching aids, PPT, web-resources, Picture, Video etc. used by the teachers while teaching
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

3. Whether the study material, notes provided
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

4. Whether you understand the topics taught by teacher
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

Part B: Evaluation

5. The teachers are available and accessible in the Department after lecture timings
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

6. The teaching and evaluation process is fair and unbiased
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

7. The teacher guides the students for their overall personality development
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

8. Did the teacher give any class test, special test, on the topic taught? Periodical assessments are conducted as per schedule?
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

Part C: Approach/Attitude/Punctuality

9. The teacher is well prepared for the class
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

10. The teacher's attitude towards the students is friendly & helpful
    (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

11. The teacher encourages participation and discussion in class, or teacher responds to yourquestions/views/ideas/suggestions
    (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

12. The teacher is punctual and regular in taking lectures and practical's
    (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

13. The teacher begins lectures and practicals on time
    (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

14. Attendance record of the students maintained by the teachers daily/regularly
    (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

15. Regular and timely feedback/discussion given on your academic performance
    (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

Feedback Analysis:

Arts, Commerce and Science College , Kiran Nagar Amravati
Analysis of Feedback of Teachers by students
**Academic Session-2021-22 (Semester 1,3,5)**

Faculty: Science, Course: B.Sc., Stakeholder Category: Students

Name of the Teacher	Subject	Sample Size			
		B.Sc.I	B.Sc.II	B.Sc.III	Total
Dr. S. B. Bansod	Chemistry	6	14	10	30
Dr. M. J. Pawar	Chemistry	1	11	8	20
Dr. A. K. Maldhure	Chemistry	17	14	10	41
Prof. D. B. Suryawanshi	Physics	55	23	13	91
Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee	Physics	47	40	56	143
Dr. S. H. Shamkuwar	Physics	20	27	28	75
Prof. A. P. Deshmukh	Industrial Chemistry	9	6	2	17
Dr. U. S. Khandekar	Industrial Chemistry	25	11	12	48
Prof. N. M. Jathe	Computer Science	13	19	0	32
Prof. C.H. Sawarkar	Computer Science	46	19	0	65
Prof. Dr. B. S. Karmore	Computer Science	0	0	22	22
Dr. V. D. Sharma	Mathematics	12	13	17	42
Prof. Manisha Pathak	Mathematics	0	0	19	19
Prof. Priya Nikam	Mathematics	0	14	0	14
Prof. N. V. Bhongade	Mathematics	21	0	0	21
Dr. P. N. Pawade	Botany	10	13	6	29
Dr. D. M. Ratnaparakhi	Botany	12	18	8	38
Dr. S. K. Tippat	Environment Science	24	24	0	48
Dr. V. Narnaware	Environment Science	8	18	46	72
	Total	326	284	257	867

Feedback Analysis:

Name of the Teacher	Parameter wise feedback value													Avg	Grade
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Dr. S. B. Bansod	87	83	85	89	83	83	83	89	89	86	89	87	88	86	Good
Dr. M. J. Pawar	86	79	86	88	90	81	88	88	93	89	92	86	88	87	Good
Dr. A. K. Maldhure	88	85	84	87	83	81	80	87	90	89	89	90	87	86	Good
Prof. D. B. Suryawanshi	88	86	88	87	87	86	87	86	89	89	88	88	88	87	Good
Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee	90	89	89	88	89	87	88	89	92	90	89	90	91	89	Good
Dr. S. H. Shamkuwar	90	86	88	86	87	86	86	89	90	89	87	87	87	88	Good
Prof. A. P. Deshmukh	81	82	84	84	80	78	81	81	85	85	85	85	84	83	Good
Dr. U. S. Khandekar	88	85	89	86	87	84	85	86	90	90	88	89	90	88	Good
Prof. N. M. Jathe	89	87	89	88	87	86	85	88	89	91	89	90	91	88	Good
Prof. C.H. Sawarkar	89	87	86	88	87	83	85	85	90	87	90	87	89	87	Good
Prof. Dr. B. S. Karmore	84	83	84	84	84	83	81	85	86	88	85	85	90	85	Good
Dr. V. D. Sharma	93	90	91	91	93	89	91	91	94	91	92	92	91	92	Excellent
Prof. Manisha Pathak	95	92	97	95	98	92	93	95	98	99	96	98	97	96	Excellent
Prof. Priya Nikam	89	84	91	84	86	83	84	87	89	90	91	87	91	87	Good
Prof. N. V. Bhongade	90	85	89	90	85	79	86	85	88	89	89	90	90	87	Good
Dr. P. N. Pawade	87	87	88	88	83	82	83	86	89	88	89	89	89	87	Good
Dr. D. M. Ratnaparakhi	91	91	93	93	87	86	89	93	95	93	95	94	94	92	Excellent
Dr. S. K. Tippat	87	85	87	84	86	84	86	88	88	90	88	86	87	87	Good
Dr. V. Narnaware	89	85	88	87	86	82	87	87	89	91	88	88	89	87	Good
Average	88	86	88	88	87	84	86	88	90	90	89	89	89	88	Good

Feedback Analysis Chart:

Feedback Analysis
Faculty: Science, Course: B.Sc., Stakeholder Category: Students

- 03 Teachers have scored "Excellent" grade with more than 90% Score
- 16 Teachers have scored "Good" grade with more than 70% Score
- Letter of Suggestion is being issued to the teachers

Faculty: Science, Course: M.Sc., Stakeholder Category: Students

Name of the Teacher	Subject	Sample Size		
		M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. S. Warhekar	Environment Science	22	16	38
Prof. G. Pathak	Environment Science	23	15	38
Prof. S. Lamkhede	Environment Science	23	9	32
Prof.Ashwini Wadurkar	Industrial Chemistry	31	36	67
Prof. Kalyani Maldhure	Industrial Chemistry	22	28	50
Prof. M. Chaudhari	Industrial Chemistry	11	31	42
Contibutory Teachers	Industrial Chemistry	10	19	29
Dr.S.G.Deshpande	Computer Science	19	12	31
Dr.B.S.Karmore	Computer Science	20	18	38
Prof. Aishwarya Gulwe	Computer Science	16	2	18
Prof. Jaykumar Meshram	Computer Science	22	6	28
Dr.V.D.Sharma	Mathematics	42	21	63
Prof. Manisha Pathak	Mathematics	48	26	74
Prof. K. Gupta	Mathematics	46	25	71
Prof. N.V.Bhongade	Mathematics	47	26	73
Prof. Priya Nikam	Mathematics	57	34	91
	Total	459	324	783

Feedback Analysis:

Name of the Teacher	Parameter wise feedback value													Avg	Grade
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Prof. S. Warhekar	92	94	93	93	90	89	92	89	96	94	94	94	94	93	Excellent
Prof. G. Pathak	95	93	92	92	91	86	94	90	95	95	95	94	96	93	Excellent
Prof. S. Lamkhede	95	94	93	93	93	91	93	93	98	94	95	94	96	94	Excellent
Prof.Ashwini Wadurkar	85	87	91	88	88	85	87	86	90	93	90	87	92	88	Good
Prof. Kalyani Maldhure	90	87	91	88	88	86	89	90	92	92	89	89	92	90	Good
Prof. M. Chaudhari	85	87	89	90	88	88	89	87	92	93	94	90	91	89	Good
Contibutory Teachers	85	86	86	85	85	87	88	90	88	94	89	88	94	88	Good
Dr.S.G.Deshpande	94	90	94	88	94	92	93	92	95	93	97	94	96	93	Excellent
Dr.B.S.Karmore	87	89	92	90	86	86	88	88	90	92	93	92	94	90	Excellent
Prof. Aishwarya Gulwe	92	94	93	93	92	93	89	91	94	97	94	94	97	94	Excellent
Prof. Jaykumar Meshram	89	90	95	93	91	91	90	91	94	94	95	91	92	92	Excellent
Dr.V.D.Sharma	94	90	96	94	93	91	93	94	96	96	96	94	96	94	Excellent
Prof. Manisha Pathak	97	93	97	95	96	95	94	95	98	97	96	97	98	96	Excellent
Prof. K. Gupta	95	94	96	95	95	96	94	95	98	98	97	97	99	96	Excellent
Prof. N.V.Bhongade	96	93	96	94	95	95	95	95	97	95	96	96	97	95	Excellent
Prof. Priya Nikam	97	91	97	96	94	93	94	94	97	97	96	97	98	95	Excellent
Average	**92**	**91**	**93**	**92**	**91**	**90**	**91**	**91**	**94**	**95**	**94**	**93**	**95**	**92**	

Feedback Analysis Chart:

Feedback Analysis
Faculty: Science, Course: M.Sc., Stakeholder Category: Students

- 12 Teachers have scored Excellent grade with more than or equal to 90% Score
- 04 Teachers have scored Very Good grade with more than 70% Score
- Letter of Suggestion is being issued to the teachers

Faculty: Arts, Course: B. A., Stakeholder Category: Students

Name of the Teacher	Subject	Sample Size			
		B.A.I	B.A.II	B.A.III	Total
Dr. N. D. Gawande	English Literature	23	0	14	37
Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar	English	19	17	0	36
Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar	English Literature	0	18	0	18
Dr. Pankaja Ingle	English	0	0	31	31
Dr. Pankaja Ingle	English Literature	0	13	0	13
Dr. Anna Vaidya	Marathi	0	0	50	50
Dr. Anna Vaidya	Marathi Literature	12	18	0	30
Dr. P. S. Wankhede	Marathi	43	26	0	69
Dr. P. S. Wankhede	Marathi Literature	0	0	20	20
Dr. T. T. Rathod	History	7	15	25	47
Dr. R. A. Raibhog	Economics	9	8	6	23
Dr. N. D. Tambekar	Sociology	1	15	11	27
Dr. D. C. Nikalje	Political Science	9	9	2	20
	Total	**123**	**139**	**159**	**421**

Feedback Analysis:

Name of the Teacher	Parameter wise feedback value													Avg	Grade
	1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**12**	**13**		
Dr. N. D. Gawande	97	91	96	97	94	95	95	96	96	96	97	96	96	96	Excellent
Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar	91	89	94	91	93	91	91	93	92	94	93	91	92	92	Excellent
Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar	96	92	96	94	94	97	94	93	97	97	96	96	96	95	Excellent
Dr. Pankaja Ingle	92	86	89	90	90	88	88	86	91	90	88	88	87	89	Good
Dr. Pankaja Ingle	92	91	94	92	92	95	94	92	94	97	91	95	95	93	Excellent
Dr. Anna Vaidya	94	93	93	93	95	90	92	93	94	94	93	92	93	93	Excellent
Dr. Anna Vaidya	91	82	89	84	89	81	85	83	90	87	87	88	89	87	Good
Dr. P. S. Wankhede	89	89	90	89	89	86	88	87	91	91	90	92	90	89	Good
Dr. P. S. Wankhede	92	87	90	89	88	84	93	90	93	90	89	89	91	90	Excellent
Dr. T. T. Rathod	89	86	85	87	87	83	89	86	92	93	91	90	92	88	Good
Dr. R. A. Raibhog	96	90	97	96	94	94	95	95	96	96	96	95	97	95	Excellent
Dr. N. D. Tambekar	90	84	90	88	88	84	90	89	89	92	91	88	90	89	Good
Dr. D. C. Nikalje	96	94	95	97	97	95	94	97	97	96	97	97	97	96	Excellent
Average	**93**	**89**	**92**	**91**	**92**	**90**	**91**	**91**	**93**	**93**	**92**	**92**	**93**	**92**	

Feedback Analysis Chart:

- 08 Teachers have scored Excellent grade with more than or equal to 90% Score
- 05 Teachers have scored Very Good grade with more than 70% Score
- Letter of Suggestion is being issued to the teachers

Faculty: Commerce, Course: B. Com., Stakeholder Category: Students

Name of the Teacher	Sample Size			
	B.Com.I	B.Com.II	B.Com.III	Total
Prof. N. N. Mohod	31	26	10	67
Prof. Sonali S. Gosavi	4	9	3	16
Prof. Padma Kadu	1	9	3	13
Total	36	44	16	96

Feedback Analysis:

Name of the Teacher	Parameter wise feedback value													Avg	Grade
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Prof. N. N. Mohod	79	78	83	85	87	82	85	81	88	90	87	82	84	84	Good
Prof. Sonali S. Gosavi	80	83	88	88	88	83	85	86	91	89	85	83	86	86	Good
Prof. Padma Kadu	86	85	88	89	88	88	92	85	94	95	88	86	85	88	Good
Average	82	82	86	87	87	84	87	84	91	91	87	83	85	86	

Feedback Analysis Chart:

Feedback Analysis
Faculty: Commerce, Course - B.Com., Stakeholder Category - Students

- 03 Teacher have scored Good grade with more than 70% Score
- Letter of Suggestion is being issued to the teachers

Curricular Committee
Coordinator

IQAC
Coordinator

Principal
(Dr. R.S.Chandanpat)

Smt. Narsamma Hirayya Arts, Commerce and Science College, Amravati
Curricular Committee 2021-22
Stakeholder's Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report
(Feedback on College Library by Students)
For Academic Session 2021-22

Date: 01-08-2022

The Committee:
The committee has following members

Dr. N. D. Gawande, Head	Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee,
Dr. A. P. Vaidya,	Dr. S. G. Deshpande,
Mrs. Sukhada Deshpande	

Preamble:
The feedback on college library is sought by the students for every academic session. The parameters include Frequency of visits to the library, collections and resources available, newspaper access, the infrastructure and ambience of the library, ICT facility utilization, staff attentiveness and satisfaction with the reading space in the library, etc.

Importance:
The college views college library as a valuable resource in the teaching learning process. Feedback offer important perspectives for evaluating quality of facilities, infrastructure and student services. Feedback on library occupies a prominent position in the strategic development policies in the teaching learning process. It also helps to improve the accountability of the system.

Process:
The student's feedback on library is collected online by supplying a link for the feedback questionnaire to students. The recorded choices are then processed to obtain the feedback Analysis Report.

Submission:
The Feedback Analysis Report is discussed in staff council meeting and forwarded to IQAC for suggestions if any. Finally it is submitted to the College Development Committee (CDC) for its approval.

Action Taken:
Proper suggestions were consolidated to communicate to the Principal and the College Development Committee (CDC) to ensure the proper redressal of the suggestions / grievances if any.

Policy for Feedback:
For each question in an online questionnaire the student indicates his / her level of agreement by selecting available appropriate option.

Scale

Choice (A)	Feedback Value = 90	Grade A	Excellent
Choice (B)	Feedback Value = 70	Grade B	Good
Choice (C)	Feedback Value = 50	Grade C	Average
Choice (D)	Feedback Value = 30	Grade D	fair
Choice (E)	Feedback Value = 10	Grade E	Poor

Analysis of Feedback of college library by Students (Sample Size: 45)
Questionnaire:

Smt. Narasamma Hirayya Shaikshanik Trust, Amravati's
Arts, Commerce and Science College, Kirannagar, Amravati

Student's Feedback on College Library

Academic year:_____ **Faculty:**_____**Department:** _____

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the resources, accessibility and facilities available in the college library. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the library.

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement by choosing appropriate option.

1. How often do you visit the library?
   A) Daily   B) Once a week   C) Once a month   D) Rarely

2. The library timings are adequate?
   A) Yes   B) No

3. Please indicate the main purpose of visiting the library
   A) Internet Browsing   B) E books and Online Databases searching
   C) Borrowing Books   D) Reading Newspaper

4. The collections and resources available at the library are
   A) Excellent   B) Good   C) Fair   D) Poor

5. Newspaper access of the library
   A) Excellent   B) Good   C) Fair   D) Poor

6. The infrastructure and ambience of the library is
   A) Excellent   B) Good   C) Fair   D) Poor

7. Do you use ICT facility provided in the Library?
   A) Yes   B) No

8. Is library staff cooperative and helpful?
   A) Yes   B) No

9. Do you get adequate assistance from the library staff in finding relevant references and material in the library?
   A) Yes   B) No

10. Are you satisfied with the reading space in the library?
    A) Yes   B) No

Recommendations for improvements in college library, if any:

_____

_____

S.N.	Feedback Parameter	Avg	Grade
1	How often do you visit the library?	82.78	Good
2	The library timings are adequate?	98.89	Excellent
3	Please indicate the main purpose of visiting the library	80.00	Good
4	The collections and resources available at the library	83.33	Good
5	Newspaper access of the library	82.78	Good
6	The infrastructure and ambience of the library	83.89	Good
7	Do you use ICT facility provided in the Library?	91.11	Excellent
8	Is library staff cooperative and helpful?	100.00	Excellent
9	Do you get adequate assistance from the library staff in finding relevant references and material in the library?	97.78	Excellent
10	Are you satisfied with the reading space in the library?	98.89	Excellent
	Average	89.94	Good

Analysis Chart

Feedback of College Library by Students - Session 2021-22

The analysis reveals scope for improvement on all parameters, however the following parameter demands immediate attention.

S.N.	Feedback Parameter	Avg	Grade
1	Student's visit frequency to library	82.78	Good
3	The main purpose of visiting the library is E books and Online Databases searching	80.00	Good
4	Adequacy of collections and resources available at the library	83.33	Good
5	Newspaper access of the library	82.78	Good
6	The infrastructure and ambience of the library	83.89	Good

Curricular Committee
Coordinator

IQAC
Coordinator

Principal
(Dr. R.S.Chandanpat)

Smt. Narsamma Hirayya Arts, Commerce and Science College, Amravati
Curricular Committee 2021-22
Stakeholder's Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report
(Feedback on Curriculum by Teachers)
For Academic Session 2021-22

Date: 01-08-2022

The Committee:

The committee has following members

Dr. N. D. Gawande, Head	Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee,
Dr. A. P. Vaidya,	Dr. S. G. Deshpande,
Mrs. Sukhada Deshpande	

Preamble:

The feedback on curriculum is taken by the teachers for every academic session. The parameters include opinion regarding aims and objectives of the syllabi, the depth and adequateness of the course content for significant learning outcomes, availability of sufficient prescribed books, reference material and related books, appropriateness of pre-requisite courses, attainment of students POs, COs and PSOs, scope for adopting new techniques of teaching , balance between theory and Laboratory-work, etc.

Importance:

The college views its teachers as a valuable stakeholder in the teaching learning process. Teacher's feedback offer important perspectives for evaluating academics and student services. Teacher's feedback occupies a prominent position in the strategic development policies. It also helps to improve the accountability of the system.

Process:

The teacher's feedback is collected online by supplying a link for the feedback questionnaire to the teachers. The recorded choices are then processed to obtain the feedback Analysis Report.

Submission:

The Feedback Analysis Report is discussed in staff council meeting and forwarded to IQAC for suggestions if any. Finally it is submitted to the College Development Committee (CDC) for its approval.

Action Taken:

Proper suggestions were consolidated to communicate to the Principal and the College Development Committee (CDC) to ensure the proper redressal of the suggestions / grievances if any.

Policy for Feedback from Teachers

For each question in an online questionnaire the teacher indicates his / her level of agreement by selecting available appropriate option.

Scale

Choice (A)	Feedback Value = 90	Grade A	Excellent
Choice (B)	Feedback Value = 70	Grade B	Good
Choice (C)	Feedback Value = 50	Grade C	Average
Choice (D)	Feedback Value = 30	Grade D	fair
Choice (E)	Feedback Value = 10	Grade E	Poor

Analysis of Feedback of college by Teacher:
Questionnaire:

Smt. NarasammaHirayya Education Trust, Amravati's
Arts, Commerce and Science College, Kirannagar, Amravati

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic year:_____   **Faculty:**_____**Department:** _____
Name of the Teacher: _____

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution.

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement by choosing between A through E

1   Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.
    A) Strongly Agree   B) Agree   C) Not Sure   D) Disagree   E) Strongly Disagree

2   Syllabus is contemporary and need based.
    A) Strongly Agree   B) Agree   C) Not Sure   D) Disagree   E) Strongly Disagree

3   The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.
    A) Strongly Agree   B) Agree   C) Not Sure   D) Disagree   E) Strongly Disagree

4   Sufficient number of prescribed books on the syllabi are available in the library
    A) Strongly Agree   B) Agree   C) Not Sure   D) Disagree   E) Strongly Disagree

5   Sufficient reference material and related books and other resources are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus in the library
    A) Strongly Agree   B) Agree   C) Not Sure   D) Disagree   E) Strongly Disagree

6   The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course.
    A) Strongly Agree   B) Agree   C) Not Sure   D) Disagree   E) Strongly Disagree

7   The students attain the POs, COs and PSOs satisfactorily.
    A) Strongly Agree   B) Agree   C) Not Sure   D) Disagree   E) Strongly Disagree

8   There is an ample scope to adopt new techniques of teaching such as seminar, presentations, group discussions, projects, etc.
    A) Strongly Agree   B) Agree   C) Not Sure   D) Disagree   E) Strongly Disagree

9   The course/syllabus has a good balance between theory and Laboratory-work.   A)
    Strongly Agree   B) Agree   C) Not Sure   D) Disagree   E) Strongly Disagree

10 The course/syllabus of this subject has increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.
    A) Strongly Agree   B) Agree   C) Not Sure   D) Disagree   E) Strongly Disagree

Recommendations for course improvement (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc. if any)

_____

_____

Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum 2021-22:

S.N.	Feedback Parameter	Avg	Grade
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	90.17	Excellent
2	Syllabus is contemporary and need based	86.44	Good
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes	87.46	Good
4	Sufficient number of prescribed books on the syllabi are available in the library	85.08	Good
5	Sufficient reference material and related books and other resources are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus in the library	84.75	Good
6	The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course	85.42	Good
7	The students attain the POs, COs and PSOs satisfactorily	85.76	Good
8	There is an ample scope to adopt new techniques of teaching such as seminar, presentations, group discussions, projects, etc.	88.47	Good
9	The course/syllabus has a good balance between theory and Laboratory-work	87.46	Good
10	The course/syllabus of this subject has increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area	87.12	Good
Overall Average		**86.81**	**Good**

Analysis Chart

Feeback of College from Teachers on Curriculum - Session 2021-22

The analysis reveals scope for improvement on all parameters, however the following parameter demands immediate attention.

S.N.	Feedback Parameter	Avg	Grade
2	Syllabus is contemporary and need based	86.44	Good
4	Sufficient number of prescribed books on the syllabi are available in the library	85.08	Good
5	Sufficient reference material and related books and other resources are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus in the library	84.75	Good
6	The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course	85.42	Good
7	The students attain the POs, COs and PSOs satisfactorily	85.76	Good

Curricular Committee
Coordinator

IQAC
Coordinator

Principal
(Dr. R.S.Chandanpat)

Smt. Narsamma Hirayya Arts, Commerce and Science College, Amravati
Curricular Committee 2021-22
Stakeholder's Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report
(Feedback of College by Alumni)
For Academic Session 2021-22

Date: 01-08-2022

The Committee:

The committee has following members

Dr. N. D. Gawande, Head	Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee,
Dr. A. P. Vaidya,	Dr. S. G. Deshpande,
Mrs. Sukhada Deshpande	

Preamble:

The feedback for the college is taken by the alumni for every academic session. The parameters include Rating of course completed by alumni with respect to employability, Infrastructural and laboratory facilities, Rating of faculties, Rating of Library, Rating of office staff, Rating of educational resources, Satisfaction regarding admission procedure, Overall rating of the college, Satisfaction regarding sports activities. Satisfaction regarding personality development activities, etc.

Importance:

The college views its alumni as a valuable source of information. Alumni feedback offer important perspectives for evaluating academic programs and student services. Alumni feedback occupies a prominent position in the strategic development policies. It also helps to improve the accountability of the system.

Process:

The feedback is collected online by supplying a link for the feedback questionnaire to the Alumnii. The recorded choices are then processed to obtain the feedback Analysis Report.

Submission:

The Feedback Analysis Report is discussed in staff council meeting and forwarded to IQAC for suggestions if any. Finally it is submitted to the College Development Committee (CDC) for its approval.

Action Taken:

Proper suggestions were consolidated to communicate to the Principal and the College Development Committee (CDC) to ensure the proper redressal of the suggestions / grievances if any.

Policy for Feedback from Alumni

For each question in an online questionnaire the alumni indicates his / her level of agreement by selecting available appropriate option.

Scale

Choice (A)	Feedback Value = 90	Grade A	Excellent
Choice (B)	Feedback Value = 70	Grade B	Good
Choice (C)	Feedback Value = 50	Grade C	Average
Choice (D)	Feedback Value = 30	Grade D	fair
Choice (E)	Feedback Value = 10	Grade E	Poor

Analysis of Feedback of college by Alumni:
Questionnaire:

ARTS, COMMERCE& SCIENCE COLLEGE KIRAN NAGAR AMRAVATI
CURRICULAR COMMITTEE 2021-22
Form for Alumni Feedback on College

Name: _____

Address &Contact Number: _____

Course Completed (B.A. / B.Sc. /M.Sc.) Other _____

Year of completion of course: _____

Present Occupation/ Designation: _____

Email ID: _____

Your Opinion About College

1) How do you rate the courses that you have learnt in the college in relation to your current job / occupation?

☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average   ☐ Poor

2) Infrastructure and Lab facilities

☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average   ☐ Poor

3) Faculty:

☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average   ☐ Poor

4) Library:

☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average   ☐ Poor

5) Office Staff

☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average   ☐ Poor

6) Educational Resources:

☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average   ☐ Poor

7) Admission Procedure:

☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average   ☐ Poor

8) Overall Rating of the College:

☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average   ☐ Poor

9) About Sports Activity

☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average   ☐ Poor

10) About Personality Development Activity

☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average   ☐ Poor

Any other suggestions / comments: _____

Sr.No.	Feedback Parameter	Average Score	Overall Grade
1	Rating of course completed by alumni with respect to employability	85.56	Good
2	Infrastructural and laboratory facilities	80.00	Good
3	Rating of faculties	88.89	Good
4	Rating of Library	78.33	Good
5	Rating of office staff	81.11	Good
6	Rating of educational resources	81.67	Good
7	Satisfaction regarding admission procedure	83.89	Good
8	Overall rating of the college	85.00	Good
9	Satisfaction regarding sports activities	74.44	Good
10	Satisfaction regarding personality development activities	83.33	Good
	Overall Average		82.22

Analysis`Chart

Feeback of College from Alumni - Session 2021-22

The analysis reveals scope for improvement on all parameters, however the following parameter demands immediate attention.

Sr.No.	Feedback Parameter	Average Score	Overall Grade
2	Infrastructural and laboratory facilities	80.00	Good
4	Rating of Library	78.33	Good
9	Satisfaction regarding sports activities	74.44	Good

Curricular Committee
Coordinator

IQAC
Coordinator

Principal
(Dr. R.S.Chandanpat)

Smt. Narsamma Hirayya Arts, Commerce and Science College, Amravati
Curricular Committee 2021-22
Stakeholder's Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report
(Feedback of College by Parents)
For Academic Session 2021-22

Date: 01-08-2022

The Committee:

The committee has following members

Dr. N. D. Gawande, Head	Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee,
Dr. A. P. Vaidya,	Dr. S. G. Deshpande,
Mrs. Sukhada Deshpande	

Preamble:

The feedback for the college is taken by the parents for every academic session. The parameters include Academic Standard of College, Laboratories, Library and relevant facilities, other facilities like potable water, lavatories, parking, security, etc., Efficiency and Behavior of the office staff, Satisfaction regarding Services rendered by office staff, Satisfaction regarding admission procedure, College Prospectus Informativeness, Parents view regarding teachers, Parents opinion regarding the feedback mechanism, Curriculum taught, Evaluation, Punctuality, etc.

Importance:

The parent feedback is very important as parental involvement not only helps parents contribute positively to their wards learning experience but also can be an indicator of a college's overall success. By sharing their opinions, parents provide useful insights that may otherwise go unnoticed. Parent feedback also shed light on any expectations a parent might have for their wards learning experience.

Process:

The feedback is collected online by supplying a link for the feedback questionnaire to the Parents. The recorded choices are then processed to obtain the feedback Analysis Report.

Submission:

The Feedback Analysis Report is discussed in staff council meeting and forwarded to IQAC for suggestions if any. Finally it is submitted to the Principal and College Development Committee (CDC) for its approval.

Action Taken:

Proper suggestions were consolidated to communicate to the Principal and the College Development Committee (CDC) to ensure the proper redressal of the grievances if any.

Policy for Feedback from Parents

A questionnaire has been designed to seek feedback from parents to collect their opinion regarding the quality of educational environment of the college. For each item parent indicates his / her level of agreement by selecting appropriate option.

Scale

Choice (A)	Feedback Value = 90	Grade A	Excellent
Choice (B)	Feedback Value = 70	Grade B	Good
Choice (C)	Feedback Value = 50	Grade C	Average

Analysis of Feedback of college by Parents:

कला, वाणिज्य व विज्ञान महाविद्यालय, किरण नगर, अमरावती
पालकांचा अभिप्राय (Feedback)
शैक्षणिक सत्र 2021-22

✓योग्य ठिकाणी खुण करावी.

१. महाविद्यालयाचा शैक्षणिक दर्जा आपल्याला कसा वाटतो?

    अ) अत्युकृष्ट   ब) उत्कृष्ट   क) समाधानकारक   ड) असमाधानकारक

२. महाविद्यालयातील प्रयोगशाळा व इतर शैक्षणिक सोयी–सुविधांविषयी आपले काय मत आहे?

    अ)अद्यावत   ब) उत्कृष्ट   क) समाधानकारक   ड) दर्जा सुधारण्यास वाव आहे

३. महाविद्यालयातील ग्रंथालय आणि इतर भौतिक सुविधांविषयी आपले काय मत आहे?

    अ) अद्यावत   ब) उत्कृष्ट   क) समाधानकारक   ड) दर्जा सुधारण्यास वाव आहे

४. महाविद्यालयातील अन्य भौतिक सुविधा (पाणी, स्वच्छतागृह, सुरक्षा, पार्किंग) याविषयी आपले काय मत आहे?

    अ) अद्यावत   ब) उत्कृष्ट   क) समाधानकारक   ड) दर्जा सुधारण्याची गरज आहे

५. एक पालक या नात्याने महाविद्यालयातील कार्यालयातील कर्मचाऱ्यांबाबत आपला काय अनुभव आहे?

    अ) तत्पर, कार्यक्षम, सहकार्य करणारे   ब) काही प्रमाणात सहकार्य करणारे

    क) कर्तव्यात दिरंगाई करणारे   ड) अकार्यक्षम, सहकार्य न करणारे

६. महाविद्यालयातील कार्यालयीन सेवा व सुविधांबाबतचा आपला अनुभव कसा आहे?

    अ) पारदर्शक, सुलभ व तत्पर   ब) काही प्रमाणात पारदर्शक व तत्पर

    क) अपारदर्शक, कमी तत्पर   ड) अपारदर्शक व अयोग्य व भेदभाव पूर्ण

७. महाविद्यालयातील प्रवेश प्रक्रियेविषयी आपले काय मत आहे?

    अ) पारदर्शक, सुलभ व तत्पर   ब) काही प्रमाणात पारदर्शक

    क) भेदभावपूर्ण   ड) किचकट व अपारदर्शक

८. महाविद्यालयाच्या माहिती पत्रकासंबंधी आपले मत काय आहे?

    अ) सखोल व माहितीपूर्ण   ब) साधारण माहितीपूर्ण   क) अपूर्ण माहिती व किचकट   ड) उपयोग शून्य

९. पालक या नात्याने महाविद्यालयातील शिक्षक वर्गांविषयी आपले मत काय?

    अ) अभ्यासू, तज्ञ व मदत करण्यास तत्पर   ब) काही प्रमाणात तज्ञ

    क) साधारण अभ्यासू   ड) अभ्यास नसलेले व विषयास न्याय न देणारे

१०. पालक म्हणून आपले मत जाणून घेण्याच्या या फीडबॅक प्रक्रीयेबद्दल आपल्याला काय वाटते?

    अ) दोघांच्याही फायद्याची   ब) पालकांच्या फायद्याची   क) महाविद्यालयाच्या फायद्याची   ड) उपयोगशून्य

_____

पालकाचे नाव व स्वाक्षरी

server\E\shrikant\FeedBack_Form2

PARENTS FEEDBACK ABOUT COLLEGE 2021-22 (Sample Size: 75):

Feedback Parameter	Avg	Grade
१. महाविद्यालयाचा शैक्षणिक दर्जा आपल्याला कसा वाटतो ?	93.87	Excellent
२. महाविद्यालयातील प्रयोगशाळा व इतर शैक्षणिक सोयी सुविधांविषयी आपले मत काय आहे?	85.07	Good
३. महाविद्यालयातील ग्रंथालय आणि इतर भौतिक सुविधांविषयी आपले काय मत आहे?	82.13	Good
४. महाविद्यालयातील अन्य भौतिक सुविधा पाणी स्वच्छतागृह सुरक्षा पार्किंग याविषयी आपले काय मत आहे?	88.53	Good
५. एक पालक या नात्याने महाविद्यालयातील कार्यालयातील कर्मचाऱ्यांबाबत आपला काय अनुभव आहे?	96.33	Excellent
६. महाविद्यालयातील कार्यालयीन सेवा व सुविधांबाबतचा आपला अनुभव कसा आहे?	95.00	Excellent
७. महाविद्यालयातील प्रवेश प्रक्रियेविषयी आपले काय मत आहे?	94.67	Excellent
८. महाविद्यालयाच्या माहिती पत्रकासंबंधी आपले काय मत आहे?	94.33	Excellent
९. पालक या नात्याने महाविद्यालयातील शिक्षक वर्गा विषयी आपले मत काय ?	97.00	Excellent
१०. पालक म्हणून आपले मत जाणून घेण्याच्या या फीडबॅक प्रक्रियेबद्दल आपल्याला काय वाटते?	93.67	Excellent
Average	**92.06**	**Excellent**

Analysis Chart:

The analysis reveals that there is a scope for improvement on all parameters, however the following parameters need to be taken care of immediately.

Feedback Parameter	Avg	Grade
२. महाविद्यालयातील प्रयोगशाळा व इतर शैक्षणिक सोयी सुविधांविषयी आपले मत काय आहे?	85.07	Good
३. महाविद्यालयातील ग्रंथालय आणि इतर भौतिक सुविधांविषयी आपले काय मत आहे?	82.13	Good
४. महाविद्यालयातील अन्य भौतिक सुविधा पाणी स्वच्छतागृह सुरक्षा पार्किंग याविषयी आपले काय मत आहे?	88.53	Good

Curricular Committee
Coordinator

IQAC
Coordinator

Principal
(Dr. R.S.Chandanpat)