Stakeholder's Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report (Feedback of Teachers by Students)

Academic Session 2021-22

Date: 01-08-2022

The Committee:

The committee has following members

Dr. N. D. Gawande, Head Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee, Dr. A. P. Vaidya, Dr. S. G. Deshpande,

Mrs. Sukhada Deshpande

Preamble:

The stakeholder (student) feedback is very important to strengthen the quality of teaching learning process, facilities & environment available in the college for overall development of students in general and the institution in particular. It provides the institution an opportunity to improve performance of teachers in classroom engagement with student to bring excellence in teaching and learning process and improve on the facilities & environment.

The feedback for each semester is obtained and analyzed by the stakeholders (Student, Alumni, Parents and Teacher) of the institution for every semester within the academic session. This report contains analysis of feedback on teachers and College Library by students.

Process:

All the above feedback are obtained online by supplying a link for the feedback questionnaire to the Students. The recorded choices are then processed to obtain the feedback Analysis Report.

Submission:

This Feedback Analysis Report is discussed in staff council meeting. The report is then forwarded to IQAC for suggestions if any. Finally it is submitted to the Principal and College Development Committee (CDC) for its approval.

Action Taken:

Feedback Report of along with suggestions is submitted to the Principal and discussed in the staff meeting. The individual teacher's report is shared with the teachers. The feedback report is placed before the College Development Committee (CDC) for its approval. Thereafter letter of suggestions are issued to the individual teachers so that he / she can improve on respective low performing parameters till next feedback cycle.

Policy for Feedback:

For each question in an online questionnaire the student indicates his / her level of agreement by selecting available appropriate option.

Scale			
Choice (A)	Feedback Value = 90	Grade A	Excellent
Choice (B)	Feedback Value = 70	Grade B	Good
Choice (C)	Feedback Value = 50	Grade C	Average
Choice (D)	Feedback Value = 30	Grade D	fair
Choice (E)	Feedback Value = 10	Grade E	Poor

C

Part A:	Curricul	lum	Taug	h
4	T L		. 11 . 1.	

Qι	ıes	tionnaire:				
aı		: Curriculum Taught The entire syllabus is o	completed in time	9		
		(A) Strongly Agree	(B) Agree	(C) Not sure	(D) Disagree	(E) Strongly Disagree
	2.	Modern teaching aids, F (A) Strongly Agree	PT, web-resourc (B) Agree	es, Picture, Vide (C) Not sure	o etc. used by the (D) Disagree	e teachers while teaching (E) Strongly Disagree
	3. '	Whether the study mate	erial, notes provi	ded		
		(A) Strongly Agree	(B) Agree	(C) Not sure	(D) Disagree	(E) Strongly Disagree
	4. '	Whether you understan	d the topics taug	ht by teacher		
		(A) Strongly Agree	(B) Agree	(C) Not sure	(D) Disagree	(E) Strongly Disagree
aı	rt B:	: Evaluation				
	5.	The teachers are availab	ole and accessible	in the Departm	ent after lecture	timings
		(A) Strongly Agree	(B) Agree	(C) Not sure	(D) Disagree	(E) Strongly Disagree
	6.	The teaching and evalua	ntion process is fa	air and unbiased		
		(A) Strongly Agree	(B) Agree	(C) Not sure	(D) Disagree	(E) Strongly Disagree
	7.	The teacher guides the s	students for their	overall persona	lity development	:
		(A) Strongly Agree	(B) Agree	(C) Not sure	(D) Disagree	(E) Strongly Disagree
	8.	Did the teacher give a conducted as per scheo		pecial test, on t	he topic taught?	Periodical assessments are
		(A) Strongly Agree	(B) Agree	(C) Not sure	(D) Disagree	(E) Strongly Disagree
		rt C: Approach/Attitude The teacher is well prep	-	5		
		(A) Strongly Agree	(B) Agree	(C) Not sure	(D) Disagree	(E) Strongly Disagree
	10	. The teacher's attitude	towards the stud	lents is friendly 8	& helpful	
		(A) Strongly Agree	(B) Agree	(C) Not sure	(D) Disagree	(E) Strongly Disagree
	11	. The teacher encourage yourquestions/views,			class, or teacher	responds to
		(A) Strongly Agree			(D) Disagree	(E) Strongly Disagree

- 12. The teacher is punctual and regular in taking lectures and practical's
 - (A) Strongly Agree
- (B) Agree
- (C) Not sure
- (D) Disagree
- (E) Strongly Disagree

- 13. The teacher begins lectures and practicals on time
 - (A) Strongly Agree
- (B) Agree
- (C) Not sure
- (D) Disagree
- (E) Strongly Disagree
- 14. Attendance record of the students maintained by the teachers daily/regularly
 - (A) Strongly Agree
- (B) Agree
- (C) Not sure
- (D) Disagree
- (E) Strongly Disagree
- 15. Regular and timely feedback/discussion given on your academic performance
 - (A) Strongly Agree
- (B) Agree
- (C) Not sure
- (D) Disagree
- (E) Strongly Disagree

Feedback Analysis:

Arts, Commerce and Science College , Kiran Nagar Amravati Analysis of Feedback of Teachers by students Academic Session-2021-22 (Semester 1,3,5)


Faculty: Science, Course: B.Sc., Stakeholder Category: Students

Name of the Teacher	Subject	Sample Size					
		B.Sc.I	B.Sc.II	B.Sc.III	Total		
Dr. S. B. Bansod	Chemistry	6	14	10	30		
Dr. M. J. Pawar	Chemistry	1	11	8	20		
Dr. A. K. Maldhure	Chemistry	17	14	10	41		
Prof. D. B. Suryawanshi	Physics	55	23	13	91		
Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee	Physics	47	40	56	143		
Dr. S. H. Shamkuwar	Physics	20	27	28	75		
Prof. A. P. Deshmukh	Industrial Chemistry	9	6	2	17		
Dr. U. S. Khandekar	Industrial Chemistry	25	11	12	48		
Prof. N. M. Jathe	Computer Science	13	19	0	32		
Prof. C.H. Sawarkar	Computer Science	46	19	0	65		
Prof. Dr. B. S. Karmore	Computer Science	0	0	22	22		
Dr. V. D. Sharma	Mathematics	12	13	17	42		
Prof. Manisha Pathak	Mathematics	0	0	19	19		
Prof. Priya Nikam	Mathematics	0	14	0	14		
Prof. N. V. Bhongade	Mathematics	21	0	0	21		
Dr. P. N. Pawade	Botany	10	13	6	29		
Dr. D. M. Ratnaparakhi	Botany	12	18	8	38		
Dr. S. K. Tippat	Environment Science	24	24	0	48		
Dr. V. Narnaware	Environment Science	8	18	46	72		
	Total	326	284	257	867		

Feedback Analysis:

Name of the Teacher				Para	amet	er w	ise f	eedb	ack v	alue				Avg	Grade
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Dr. S. B. Bansod	87	83	85	89	83	83	83	89	89	86	89	87	88	86	Good
Dr. M. J. Pawar	86	79	86	88	90	81	88	88	93	89	92	86	88	87	Good
Dr. A. K. Maldhure	88	85	84	87	83	81	80	87	90	89	89	90	87	86	Good
Prof. D. B. Suryawanshi	88	86	88	87	87	86	87	86	89	89	88	88	88	87	Good
Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee	90	89	89	88	89	87	88	89	92	90	89	90	91	89	Good
Dr. S. H. Shamkuwar	90	86	88	86	87	86	86	89	90	89	87	87	87	88	Good
Prof. A. P. Deshmukh	81	82	84	84	80	78	81	81	85	85	85	85	84	83	Good
Dr. U. S. Khandekar	88	85	89	86	87	84	85	86	90	90	88	89	90	88	Good
Prof. N. M. Jathe	89	87	89	88	87	86	85	88	89	91	89	90	91	88	Good
Prof. C.H. Sawarkar	89	87	86	88	87	83	85	85	90	87	90	87	89	87	Good
Prof. Dr. B. S. Karmore	84	83	84	84	84	83	81	85	86	88	85	85	90	85	Good
Dr. V. D. Sharma	93	90	91	91	93	89	91	91	94	91	92	92	91	92	Excellent
Prof. Manisha Pathak	95	92	97	95	98	92	93	95	98	99	96	98	97	96	Excellent
Prof. Priya Nikam	89	84	91	84	86	83	84	87	89	90	91	87	91	87	Good
Prof. N. V. Bhongade	90	85	89	90	85	79	86	85	88	89	89	90	90	87	Good
Dr. P. N. Pawade	87	87	88	88	83	82	83	86	89	88	89	89	89	87	Good
Dr. D. M. Ratnaparakhi	91	91	93	93	87	86	89	93	95	93	95	94	94	92	Excellent
Dr. S. K. Tippat	87	85	87	84	86	84	86	88	88	90	88	86	87	87	Good
Dr. V. Narnaware	89	85	88	87	86	82	87	87	89	91	88	88	89	87	Good
Average	88	86	88	88	87	84	86	88	90	90	89	89	89	88	Good

Feedback Analysis Chart:



- 03 Teachers have scored "Excellent" grade with more than 90% Score
- 16 Teachers have scored "Good" grade with more than 70% Score
- Letter of Suggestion is being issued to the teachers


Faculty: Science, Course: M.Sc., Stakeholder Category: Students

Name of the Teacher	Subject	Sample	Size	
		M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. S. Warhekar	Environment Science	22	16	38
Prof. G. Pathak	Environment Science	23	15	38
Prof. S. Lamkhede	Environment Science	23	9	32
Prof.Ashwini Wadurkar	Industrial Chemistry	31	36	67
Prof. Kalyani Maldhure	Industrial Chemistry	22	28	50
Prof. M. Chaudhari	Industrial Chemistry	11	31	42
Contibutory Teachers	Industrial Chemistry	10	19	29
Dr.S.G.Deshpande	Computer Science	19	12	31
Dr.B.S.Karmore	Computer Science	20	18	38
Prof. Aishwarya Gulwe	Computer Science	16	2	18
Prof. Jaykumar Meshram	Computer Science	22	6	28
Dr.V.D.Sharma	Mathematics	42	21	63
Prof. Manisha Pathak	Mathematics	48	26	74
Prof. K. Gupta	Mathematics	46	25	71
Prof. N.V.Bhongade	Mathematics	47	26	73
Prof. Priya Nikam	Mathematics	57	34	91
	Total	459	324	783

Feedback Analysis:

Name of the Teacher	Parameter wise feedback value											Avg	Grade		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Prof. S. Warhekar	92	94	93	93	90	89	92	89	96	94	94	94	94	93	Excellent
Prof. G. Pathak	95	93	92	92	91	86	94	90	95	95	95	94	96	93	Excellent
Prof. S. Lamkhede	95	94	93	93	93	91	93	93	98	94	95	94	96	94	Excellent
Prof.Ashwini Wadurkar	85	87	91	88	88	85	87	86	90	93	90	87	92	88	Good
Prof. Kalyani Maldhure	90	87	91	88	88	86	89	90	92	92	89	89	92	90	Good
Prof. M. Chaudhari	85	87	89	90	88	88	89	87	92	93	94	90	91	89	Good
Contibutory Teachers	85	86	86	85	85	87	88	90	88	94	89	88	94	88	Good
Dr.S.G.Deshpande	94	90	94	88	94	92	93	92	95	93	97	94	96	93	Excellent
Dr.B.S.Karmore	87	89	92	90	86	86	88	88	90	92	93	92	94	90	Excellent
Prof. Aishwarya Gulwe	92	94	93	93	92	93	89	91	94	97	94	94	97	94	Excellent
Prof. Jaykumar Meshram	89	90	95	93	91	91	90	91	94	94	95	91	92	92	Excellent
Dr.V.D.Sharma	94	90	96	94	93	91	93	94	96	96	96	94	96	94	Excellent
Prof. Manisha Pathak	97	93	97	95	96	95	94	95	98	97	96	97	98	96	Excellent
Prof. K. Gupta	95	94	96	95	95	96	94	95	98	98	97	97	99	96	Excellent
Prof. N.V.Bhongade	96	93	96	94	95	95	95	95	97	95	96	96	97	95	Excellent
Prof. Priya Nikam	97	91	97	96	94	93	94	94	97	97	96	97	98	95	Excellent
Average	92	91	93	92	91	90	91	91	94	95	94	93	95	92	

Feedback Analysis Chart:



- 12 Teachers have scored Excellent grade with more than or equal to 90% Score
- 04 Teachers have scored Very Good grade with more than 70% Score
- Letter of Suggestion is being issued to the teachers


Faculty: Arts, Course: B. A., Stakeholder Category: Students

Name of the Teacher	Subject		Samp	le Size	
		B.A.I	B.A.II	B.A.III	Total
Dr. N. D. Gawande	English Literature	23	0	14	37
Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar	English	19	17	0	36
Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar	English Literature	0	18	0	18
Dr. Pankaja Ingle	English	0	0	31	31
Dr. Pankaja Ingle	English Literature	0	13	0	13
Dr. Anna Vaidya	Marathi	0	0	50	50
Dr. Anna Vaidya	Marathi Literature	12	18	0	30
Dr. P. S. Wankhede	Marathi	43	26	0	69
Dr. P. S. Wankhede	Marathi Literature	0	0	20	20
Dr. T. T. Rathod	History	7	15	25	47
Dr. R. A. Raibhog	Economics	9	8	6	23
Dr. N. D. Tambekar	Sociology	1	15	11	27
Dr. D. C. Nikalje	Political Science	9	9	2	20
	Total	123	139	159	421

Feedback Analysis:

Name of the Teacher	Parameter wise feedback value											Avg	Grade		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Dr. N. D. Gawande	97	91	96	97	94	95	95	96	96	96	97	96	96	96	Excellent
Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar	91	89	94	91	93	91	91	93	92	94	93	91	92	92	Excellent
Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar	96	92	96	94	94	97	94	93	97	97	96	96	96	95	Excellent
Dr. Pankaja Ingle	92	86	89	90	90	88	88	86	91	90	88	88	87	89	Good
Dr. Pankaja Ingle	92	91	94	92	92	95	94	92	94	97	91	95	95	93	Excellent
Dr. Anna Vaidya	94	93	93	93	95	90	92	93	94	94	93	92	93	93	Excellent
Dr. Anna Vaidya	91	82	89	84	89	81	85	83	90	87	87	88	89	87	Good
Dr. P. S. Wankhede	89	89	90	89	89	86	88	87	91	91	90	92	90	89	Good
Dr. P. S. Wankhede	92	87	90	89	88	84	93	90	93	90	89	89	91	90	Excellent
Dr. T. T. Rathod	89	86	85	87	87	83	89	86	92	93	91	90	92	88	Good
Dr. R. A. Raibhog	96	90	97	96	94	94	95	95	96	96	96	95	97	95	Excellent
Dr. N. D. Tambekar	90	84	90	88	88	84	90	89	89	92	91	88	90	89	Good
Dr. D. C. Nikalje	96	94	95	97	97	95	94	97	97	96	97	97	97	96	Excellent
Average	93	89	92	91	92	90	91	91	93	93	92	92	93	92	

Feedback Analysis Chart:



- 08 Teachers have scored Excellent grade with more than or equal to 90% Score
- 05 Teachers have scored Very Good grade with more than 70% Score
- Letter of Suggestion is being issued to the teachers


Faculty: Commerce, Course: B. Com., Stakeholder Category: Students

Name of the Teacher		Sample	Size	
	B.Com.I	B.Com.II	B.Com.III	Total
Prof. N. N. Mohod	31	26	10	67
Prof. Sonali S. Gosavi	4	9	3	16
Prof. Padma Kadu	1	9	3	13
Total	36	44	16	96

Feedback Analysis:

Name of the Teacher		Parameter wise feedback value										Avg	Grade		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Prof. N. N. Mohod	79	78	83	85	87	82	85	81	88	90	87	82	84	84	Good
Prof. Sonali S. Gosavi	80	83	88	88	88	83	85	86	91	89	85	83	86	86	Good
Prof. Padma Kadu	86	85	88	89	88	88	92	85	94	95	88	86	85	88	Good
Average	82	82	86	87	87	84	87	84	91	91	87	83	85	86	

Feedback Analysis Chart:



- 03 Teacher have scored Good grade with more than 70% Score
- Letter of Suggestion is being issued to the teachers

Curricular Committee

Coordinator

IQAC

Coordinator

Prineipal

(Dr. R.S.Chandanpat)

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. S. B. Bansod

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I	Total		
Dr. S. B. Bansod	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Chemistry	6	14	10	30

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
87.33 83.33 85.33 88.67 82.67 83.33 83.33 88.67 89.33 86.00 88.67 87.33 88.00											86.31		



Performance Score: 86.31, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2, 5, 6 and 7.

Head
Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. M. J. Pawar

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Total		Total	
Dr. M. J. Pawar	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Chemistry	1	11	8	20

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
86.00 79.00 86.00 88.00 90.00 81.00 88.00 93.00 89.00 92.00 86.00 88.00											87.23		



Performance Score: 87.23, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2 and 6.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. A. K. Maldhure

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.II B.Sc.III T		Total	
Dr. A. K. Maldhure	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Chemistry	17	14	10	41

				Parai	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
88.29 84.88 83.90 87.32 82.93 80.98 80.49 86.83 90.24 89.27 89.27 90.24 87.32												86.30	



Performance Score: 86.30, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 6 and 7.

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. D. B. Suryawanshi

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
	Category				B.Sc.I	B.Sc.II	B.Sc.III	Total
Prof. D. B. Suryawanshi	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Physics	55	23	13	91

				Para	meter v	vise fee	dback v	<i>r</i> alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
87.91 85.71 87.69 86.59 86.81 86.37 87.47 85.93 89.45 88.79 87.91 87.69 87.69												87.39	



Performance Score: 87.39, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2 and 8.

неаа

Curricular Committee

-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Samp	ole Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I B.Sc.II B.Sc		B.Sc.III	Total
Dr. A. U. Bajpeyee	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Physics	47	40	56	143

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
90.07 89.23 89.37 87.97 88.95 86.57 88.39 89.09 91.61 89.79 89.37 90.07 91.33												89.37	



Performance Score: 89.37, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameter 6.

Curricular Committee

ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. S. H. Shamkuwar

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I B.Sc.II B.Sc.III To		Total	
Dr. S. H. Shamkuwar	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Physics	20	27	28	75

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
90.40 85.87 87.73 86.13 87.47 85.87 86.40 88.53 90.40 88.53 87.47 86.93 86.93											87.59		



Performance Score: 87.89, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2, 4 and 6.

Head

Curricular Committee

1040

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. S. P. Deshmukh

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I	B.Sc.II	B.Sc.III	Total
Prof. S. P. Deshmukh	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Ind. Chemistry	9	6	2	17

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
81.18 82.35 83.53 83.53 80.00 77.65 81.18 81.18 84.71 84.71 84.71 84.71 83.53											82.53		



Performance Score: 82.53, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 1, 5, 6, 7 and 8.

Head Co-ordinato

Curricular Committee IQAC Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To. Dr. U. S. Khandekar

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I	B.Sc.II	B.Sc.III	Total
Dr. U. S. Khandekar	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Industrial Chemistry	25	11	12	48

				Parai	neter w	vise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
87.92 85.42 88.75 86.25 87.08 83.75 84.58 85.83 90.00 90.42 88.33 89.17 90.00											87.50		



Performance Score: 87.50, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2, 6, and 7.

Head

Curricular Committee

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. N. M. Jathe

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Total			Total
Prof. N. M. Jathe	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Comp. Sc.	13	19	0	32

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
88.75 86.88 89.38 87.50 86.88 86.25 85.00 87.50 89.38 91.25 88.75 90.00 90.63											88.32		



Performance Score: 88.32, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2, 6 and 7.

Curricular Committee

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. C.H. Sawarkar

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Total			Total
Prof. C.H. Sawarkar	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Comp. Sc.	46	19	0	65

				Parai	meter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
88.62 87.08 85.54 87.69 87.08 83.38 84.92 84.92 90.15 87.08 89.54 86.77 88.62												87.03	



Performance Score: 87.03, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 3, 6, 7 and 8.

→ Thead Co-ordinator

Curricular Committee IQAC Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. B.S.Karmore

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Tota			Total
Prof. Dr.B.S.Karmore	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Comp. Sc.	0	0	22	22

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
83.64 82.73 83.64 83.64 83.64 82.73 80.91 84.55 86.36 88.18 85.45 84.55 90.00											84.62		



Performance Score: 84.62, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2, 6 and 7.

Head Co-ordinator

Curricular Committee IQAC Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. V. D. Sharma

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I	B.Sc.I B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Tota		Total
Dr. V. D. Sharma	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Mathematics	12	13	17	42

				Parai	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
93.33 90.00 91.43 90.95 93.33 89.05 91.43 91.43 93.81 91.43 92.38 91.90 91.43											91.68		



Performance Score: 91.68, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2 and 6.

Head

Curricular Committee

0.4....

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. Manisha Pathak

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Samp	le Size	
	Category				B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Total			Total
Prof. Manisha Pathak	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Maths	0	0	19	19

				Parai	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
94.74 91.58 96.84 94.74 97.89 91.58 92.63 94.74 97.89 98.95 95.79 97.89 96.84												95.55	



Performance Score: 95.55, Grade: Good

Curricular Committee

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2, 6 and 7.

icaa

IOAC

Princinal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. Priya Nikam

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I	B.Sc.II	B.Sc.III	Total
Prof. PriyaNikam	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Mathematics	0	14	0	14

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
88.57 84.29 91.43 84.29 85.71 82.86 84.29 87.14 88.57 90.00 91.43 87.14 91.43												87.47	



Performance Score: 87.47, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2, 4, 6 and 7.

Curricular Committee

o-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. N. V. Bhongade

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Tota			Total
Prof. N. V. Bhongade	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Maths	21	0	0	21

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
89.52 84.76 88.57 89.52 84.76 79.05 85.71 84.76 87.62 88.57 88.57 89.52 89.52												86.96	



Performance Score: 86.96, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2, 5, 6 and 8.

Curricular Committee

Head

-IOAC

Princinal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. P. N. Pawade

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Total			
Dr. P. N. Pawade	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Botany	10	13	6	29

				Parai	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
86.90 86.90 87.59 88.28 83.45 82.07 82.76 86.21 88.97 88.28 88.97 88.97 88.97												86.79	



Performance Score: 86.79, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 5, 6 and 7.

Curricular Committee

_ _

Co-ordinator

IQAC Princip

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. D. M. Ratnaparakhi

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sampl	e Size	
	Category				B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Tota			Total
Dr. D. M. Ratnaparakhi	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Botany	12	18	8	38

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
91.05 91.05 93.16 92.63 87.37 85.79 88.95 93.16 95.26 93.16 94.74 93.68 93.68												91.82	



Performance Score: 91.82, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 5, 6 and 7.

Curricular Committee

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. S. K. Tippat

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sam	ple Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Tota			Total
Dr. S. K. Tippat	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Env. Science	24	24	0	48

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
86.67 85.42 86.67 84.17 85.83 84.17 85.83 87.50 88.33 89.58 88.33 86.25 87.08												86.60	



Performance Score: 86.60, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2, 4, 6 and 7.

Head Co-ordinator

Curricular Committee IQAC Principa

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. V. A. Meshram

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Samı	ole Size	
Teacher	Category				B.Sc.I B.Sc.II B.Sc.III Tot			Total
Dr.V.A.Meshram	Student	Science	B.Sc.	Env. Sc.	8	18	46	72

				Parar	neter w	ise fee	dback v	alue					Avg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
89.44 84.72 88.06 86.94 86.11 82.22 86.94 86.94 88.89 90.56 87.78 87.78 89.17												87.35	



Performance Score: 87.35, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2, 5 and 6.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. Shrikant Warhekar

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	S	ample Size	è
Teacher	Category				M.Sc.II M.Sc.II		Total
Prof. S. Warhekar	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Environment Science	22	16	38

				Par	ameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
91.58	94.21	92.63	92.63	90.00	88.95	92.11	88.95	95.79	93.68	94.21	94.21	93.68	92.51	Excellent



Performance Score: 92.51, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 6 and 8.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. Gayatri Pathak

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	S	Sample Siz	ze
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. G. Pathak	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Environment Science	23	15	38

				Par	ameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
94.74	92.63	91.58	91.58	91.05	86.32	93.68	90.00	95.26	94.74	94.74	93.68	95.79	92.75	Excellent



Performance Score: 92.75, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 6.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. S. Lamkhede

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	9	Sample Siz	ze
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. S. Lamkhede	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Environment Science	23 9		32

				Parar	neter v	vise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
95.00	93.75	93.13	92.50	93.13	91.25	92.50	92.50	97.50	93.75	95.00	94.38	96.25	93.89	Excellent



Performance Score: 93.89, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 6.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. Ashwini Wadurkar

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject		Sample S	ize
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. Ashwini	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Industrial	31	36	67
Wadurkar				Chemistry			

				Pai	rameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
84.78	86.57	91.34	88.36	87.76	85.37	87.16	86.27	89.85	93.43	89.55	87.46	91.64	88.43	Good



Performance Score: 88.43, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 1, 6 and 8.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. Kalyani Maldhure

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	,	Sample Siz	:e
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. Kalyani Maldhure	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Industrial	22	28	50
				Chemistry			

					Par	ameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13														
90.0	87.2	.0	91.20	88.40	88.00	86.40	88.80	90.00	92.00	92.40	89.20	88.80	92.40	89.60	Good



Performance Score: 89.60, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2 and 6.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinato

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. M. Chaudhari

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	S	ample Siz	е
	Category				M.Sc.I M.Sc.II To		Total
Prof. M. Chaudhari	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Industrial Chemistry	11	31	42

	Parameter wise feedback value													Grade
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
84.76	86.67	88.57	89.52	88.10	87.62	88.57	86.67	91.90	92.86	93.81	89.52	91.43	89.23	Good



Performance Score: 89.23, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 1 and 8.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr.S.G.Deshpande

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder			Subject	Sample Size			
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total	
Dr.S.G.Deshpande	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Computer Science	19	12	31	

	Parameter wise feedback value													Grade
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
94.19	89.68	93.55	88.39	93.55	91.61	92.90	92.26	95.48	92.90	97.42	93.55	96.13	93.20	Excellent



Performance Score: 93.20, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 2 and 4.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr.B.S.Karmore

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	Sample Size		
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Dr.B.S.Karmore	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Computer Science	20	18	38

	Parameter wise feedback value													
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
87.37	88.95	91.58	90.00	85.79	86.32	87.89	88.42	90.00	92.11	93.16	91.58	93.68	89.76	Good



Performance Score: 89.76, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 5 and 6.

Head

Curricular Committee

o-ordinato

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. Aishwarya Gulwe

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	5	Sample Size		
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total	
Prof. Aishwarya Gulwe	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Comp.Sc.	16	2	18	

	Parameter wise feedback value													Grade
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
92.22	94.44	93.33	93.33	92.22	93.33	88.89	91.11	94.44	96.67	94.44	94.44	96.67	93.50	Excellent



Performance Score: 93.50, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 7.

неаа

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. Jaykumar Meshram

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	9	Sample Siz	ze
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. Jaykumar Meshram	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Computer Science	22	6	28

				Par	ameter	wise feed	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
88.57	88.57 90.00 95.00 92.86 90.71 91.43 90.00 90.71 94.29 94.29 95.00 90.71 92.14										91.98	Excellent		



Performance Score: 91.98, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 1.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr.V.D.Sharma

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	Sa	ample Size	е
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Dr.V.D.Sharma	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Mathematics	42	21	63

				Par	ameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
93.97	93.97 90.16 95.56 93.97 93.02 91.43 92.70 93.97 95.56 95.56 95.56 94.29 95.87											93.97	Excellent	



Performance Score: 93.97, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameters 1 and 6.

Head Co-ordinator

Curricular Committee IQAC Principa

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. Manisha Pathak

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	Sa	mple Size	9
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. Manisha Pathak	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Mathematics	48	26	74

				Pai	rameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13											13		
97.03	97.03 92.70 97.03 95.14 96.22 94.86 94.32 94.59 97.84 96.76 95.95 97.03 97.57											95.93	Excellent	



Performance Score: 95.93, Grade: Excellent Scope of improvement observed on parameter 2.

lead Co-ordinate

Curricular Committee IQAC Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. K. Gupta

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	Sa	ample Size	е
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. K. Gupta	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Mathematics	46	25	71

				Pai	ameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
95.49	95.49 93.80 96.34 95.21 95.21 95.77 94.37 95.49 98.31 98.03 96.90 96.62 98.59										96.16	Excellent		



Performance Score: 96.16, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. N.V.Bhongade

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	Sa	ample Size	е
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. N.V.Bhongade	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Mathematics	47	26	73

				Pai	ameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
96.44	93.42	95.62	94.25	95.07	95.34	94.52	95.07	96.99	95.34	95.89	96.16	97.26	95.49	Excellent



Performance Score: 95.49, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2.

Head Co-ordinator

Curricular Committee IQAC Principa

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. Priya Nikam

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Subject	Sa	ample Size	е
	Category				M.Sc.I	M.Sc.II	Total
Prof. Priya Nikam	Student	Science	M.Sc.	Mathematics	57	34	91

				Par	rameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
96.70	96.70 91.21 97.14 96.04 94.29 92.75 94.29 93.63 96.70 97.36 95.60 96.70 98.02											95.42	Excellent	



Performance Score: 95.42, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2.

Head Co-ordinato

Curricular Committee IQAC Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. N. D. Gawande

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher					B.A.I	B.A.II	B.A.III	Total
Dr. N. D. Gawande	Arts	B.A.	English	English Literature	23	0	14	37

				Paran	neter w	ise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
97.30	91.35	96.22	96.76	94.05	95.14	95.14	95.68	95.68	96.22	97.30	96.22	95.68	95.59	Excellent



Performance Score: 95.59, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2.

Head Co-ordinator

Curricular Committee IQAC Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher					B.A.I B.A.II B.A.III To			Total
Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar	Arts	B.A.	English	English	19	17	0	36

				Paran	neter w	ise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
91.11	89.44	94.44	90.56	93.33	90.56	90.56	93.33	91.67	93.89	92.78	90.56	91.67	91.84	Excellent



Performance Score: 91.84, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher					B.A.I	B.A.I B.A.II B.A.III To		Total
Dr. M.P. Chikhalkar	Arts	B.A.	English	English Literature	0	18	0	18

				Paran	neter w	ise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
95.56	92.22	95.56	94.44	94.44	96.67	94.44	93.33	96.67	96.67	95.56	95.56	95.56	95.13	Excellent



Performance Score: 95.13, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2.

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. Pankaja Ingle

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher					B.A.I B.A.II B.A.III To		Total	
Dr. Pankaja Ingle	Arts	B.A.	English	English	0	0	31	31

				Paran	neter w	ise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
91.61	86.45	89.03	89.68	89.68	88.39	88.39	86.45	90.97	90.32	87.74	88.39	87.10	88.78	Good



Performance Score: 88.78, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2 and 8.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. Pankaja Ingle

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher					B.A.I	B.A.II	B.A.III	Total
Dr. Pankaja Ingle	Arts	B.A.	English	English Literature	0	13	0	13

				Paran	neter w	vise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
92.31	90.77	93.85	92.31	92.31	95.38	93.85	92.31	93.85	96.92	90.77	95.38	95.38	93.49	Excellent



Performance Score: 93.49, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2 and 11.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)

To, Dr. Anna Vaidya


Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular

Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher					B.A.I B.A.II B.A.III To		Total	
Dr. Anna Vaidya	Arts	B.A.	Marathi	Marathi	0	0	50	50

				Paran	neter w	ise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
93.60	92.80	93.20	93.20	95.20	90.40	92.40	93.20	94.40	94.00	93.20	91.60	93.20	93.11	Excellent



Performance Score: 93.11, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 6 and 12.

Curricular Committee

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)

To, Dr. Anna Vaidya


Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular

Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher					B.A.I	B.A.II	B.A.III	Total
Dr. Anna Vaidya	Arts	B.A.	Marathi	Marathi Literature	12	18	0	30

				Paran	neter v	vise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
90.67	90.67 82.00 89.33 84.00 89.33 81.33 85.33 82.67 90.00 87.33 86.67 88.00 89.33											86.62	Good	



Performance Score: 86.62, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2, 6 and 8.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. P. S. Wankhede

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher					B.A.I B.A.II B.A.III To		Total	
Dr. P. S. Wankhede	Arts	B.A.	Marathi	Marathi	43	26	0	69

				Paran	neter w	ise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
88.99	88.70	89.86	88.70	88.99	86.38	88.12	87.25	91.30	91.30	90.43	91.59	89.86	89.34	Good



Performance Score: 89.34, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 6 and 8.

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. P. S. Wankhede

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher					B.A.I	B.A.II	B.A.III	Total
Dr. P. S. Wankhede	Arts	B.A.	Marathi	Marathi Literature	0	0	20	20

				Paran	neter w	ise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
92.00	92.00 87.00 90.00 89.00 88.00 84.00 93.00 90.00 93.00 90.00 89.00 89.00 91.00											89.62	Good	



Performance Score: 89.62, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2 and 6.

Head Co-ordinator

Curricular Committee IQAC Principa

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. T. T. Rathod

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
Teacher					B.A.I	Total		
Dr. T. T. Rathod	Arts	B.A.	History	History	7	15	25	47

				Paran	neter w	ise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
88.51	88.51 86.38 85.11 86.81 87.23 83.40 88.94 85.53 91.91 92.77 91.06 90.21 91.91										88.45	Good		



Performance Score: 88.45, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 3, 6 and 8.

Head Co-ordinate

Curricular Committee IQAC Princip

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. R. A. Raibhog

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
					B.A.I	B.A.II	B.A.III	Total
Dr. R. A. Raibhog	Arts	B.A.	Economics	Economics	9	8	6	23

				Paran	neter w	vise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
95.65	89.57	96.52	95.65	93.91	93.91	94.78	94.78	95.65	95.65	95.65	94.78	97.39	94.92	Excellent



Performance Score: 94.92, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator

IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Dr. N. D. Tambekar

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
					B.A.I	B.A.II	B.A.III	Total
Dr. N. D. Tambekar	Arts	B.A.	Sociology	Sociology	1	15	11	27

				Paran	neter w	ise fee	dback	value					Avg	Grade
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
89.63	89.63 84.44 90.37 88.15 88.15 83.70 89.63 88.89 88.89 91.85 91.11 88.15 89.63											88.66	Good	



Performance Score: 88.66, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2 and 6.

Head Dr. D. C. Nikalje

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To,

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Faculty	Course	Deptt.	Subject		Samp	le Size	
					B.A.I	B.A.II	B.A.III	Total
Dr. D. C. Nikalje	Arts	B.A.	Political Sc.	Political Science	9	9	2	20

	Parameter wise feedback value													
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13													
96.00	94.00	95.00	97.00	97.00	95.00	94.00	97.00	97.00	96.00	97.00	97.00	97.00	96.08	Excellent



Performance Score: 96.08, Grade: Excellent

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2 and 7.

Head

Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator IQAC

Principal

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To, Prof. N. N. Mohod

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course		Samp	ole Size	e Size		
Teacher Category				B.Com.I	B.Com.II	B.Com.III	Total		
Prof. N. N. Mohod Student		Commerce	B.Com.	31	26	10		67	

				Par	ameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
79.40	77.61	83.28	85.37	86.57	82.09	85.07	80.60	87.76	90.15	86.87	81.79	84.48	83.93	Good



Performance Score: 83.93, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 1, 2, 8 and 12.

Head

Curricular Committee

IQAC

Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To. Prof. Sonali S. Gosavi

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the Teacher	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course		Samı	ole Size		
	Category			B.Com.I	B.Com.II	B.Com.III	Total	
Prof. Sonali S. Gosavi	Student	Commerce	B.Com.	4	9	3		16

					Par	ameter v	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
80	0.00	82.50	87.50	87.50	87.50	82.50	85.00	86.25	91.25	88.75	85.00	82.50	86.25	85.58	Good



Performance Score: 85.58, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 1, 6 and 12.

Head Curricular Committee

Co-ordinator **IQAC**

Parameters: 1. Coverage of syllabus in time, 2. Use of Modern teaching aids, PPT, web-resources, Picture, Video etc., 3. Providing study material, notes, 4. Understand the topics taught, 5. Availability and accessibility of teacher in the Department after lecture timings, 6. Fair and unbiased teaching and evaluation process, 7. Guidance for overall personality development, 8. Conducting Class test, Special test, Periodical assessments as per schedule?, 9. Well preparedness for the class, 10. Friendly & helpful attitude towards the students, 11. Encouraging participation and discussion in class, responding to questions/views/ideas/suggestions, 12. Punctuality and regularity in taking lectures

and practical's, 13. Conducting lectures and practical's on time, 14. Keeping attendance record of the students

daily/regularly, 15. Regular and timely feedback/discussion on student's academic performance

Smt. Narsamma Hirayya Arts, Commerce and Science College Curricular Committee 2021-22 Individual Teacher's Feedback by students Analysis and Action Taken Report (Academic Session 2021-22)


To,Prof. Padma Kadu

Sir / Madam,

As per the feedback taken on teachers from Students and Analysis of the same by the Curricular Committee, your feedback details are as follows...

Name of the	Stakeholder	Faculty	Course	Sample Size						
Teacher Category				B.Com.I	B.Com.II	B.Com.III	Total			
Prof. Padma Kadu	Student	Commerce	B.Com.	1	9	3		13		

					Par	ameter	wise fee	dback va	lue					Avg	Grade
1	l.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
86.	.15	84.62	87.69	89.23	87.69	87.69	92.31	84.62	93.85	95.38	87.69	86.15	84.62	88.28	Good



Performance Score: 88.28, Grade: Good

Scope of improvement observed on parameter no. 2, 8 and 13.

Head Co-ordinator
Curricular Committee IQAC Principal