Student Feedback Analysis
And
Action Taken Report
(Academic Session 2017-18)

Submitted by: Curricular Committee
Date: 20-04-2018

The committee has following members
1. Dr. Dinesh N. Satange
2. Dr. Anna Vaidya
3. Dr. Datta Ratnaparkhi
4. Dr. Mahendra Pawar
5. Prof. Rita Gupta

Preamble:
The feedback for each teacher is taken by the students for every semester within the academic session. The parameters include the Curriculum taught, Evaluation, Approach, Attitude, Punctuality, etc.

Importance:
The student feedback is very important to strengthen the quality of teaching learning environment and to look for opportunities to improve teacher's performance in classroom engagement with student to bring excellence in teaching and learning.

Process:
The students who are regular in the college are selected to provide the feedback. They are supplied with a pre-printed MCQ style questionnaire and a pre-printed blank option sheet to record their choices. A short counseling session of 5 minutes is conducted on how to feel up the option sheet and the meaning of various parameters is explained to participant students. It concludes with that the students have understood and satisfied with the questions appearing in the feedback form and understands learning values in terms of knowledge, concepts, skills, analytical abilities, etc. qualities of the teacher.

The recorded choices are then processed to obtain the feedback Analysis Report.

Submission:
The Feedback Analysis Report is discussed in staff council meeting and forwarded to IQAC for suggestions if any. Finally it is submitted to the College Development Committee (CDC) for its approval.

Policy for Feedback from Students on Teachers

This form has been designed to seek feedback from students to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to improve the performance of the teachers. The information provided by the students will be kept confidential.

Directions:

For each item student indicates his / her level of agreement with the following statements by selecting appropriate option.

Scale

(A) Strongly Agree	80 % To 100 %	Grade A	Excellent
(B) Agree	60 % To 79 %	Grade B	Good
(C) Not sure	40 % To 59 %	Grade C	Average
(D) Disagree	20 % To 39 %	Grade D	Fair
(E) Strongly Disagree	0 % To 19 %	Grade E	Poor

QUESTIONNAIRE

Part A: Curriculum Taught
1. The entire syllabus is completed in time
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

2. Modern teaching aids, PPT, web-resources, Picture, Video etc. used by the teachers while teaching
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

3. Whether the study material, notes provided
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

4. Whether you understand the topics taught by teacher
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

Part B: Evaluation
5. The teachers are available and accessible in the Department after lecture timings
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

6. The teaching and evaluation process is fair and unbiased
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

7. The teacher guides the students for their overall personality development
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

8. Did the teacher give any class test, special test, on the topic taught? Periodical assessments are conducted as per schedule?
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

Part C: Approach/Attitude/Punctuality
9. The teacher is well prepared for the class
   (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

10. The teacher's attitude towards the students is friendly & helpful
    (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

11. The teacher encourages participation and discussion in class, or teacher responds to your questions/views/ideas/suggestions
  (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

12. The teacher is punctual and regular in taking lectures and practical's
  (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

13. The teacher begins lectures and practicals on time
  (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

14. Attendance record of the students maintained by the teachers daily/regularly
  (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

15. Regular and timely feedback/discussion given on your academic performance
  (A) Strongly Agree   (B) Agree   (C) Not sure   (D) Disagree   (E) Strongly Disagree

Note:

1. Evaluation of each teacher using the 5 Point scale from the feedback given by the student
2. Student selection for feedback depends on her/his regularity in classes, her/his overall good academic performance etc.
3. This feedback mechanism and report generation is under the purview of Curricular committee

Option Form for Student

ACS College Kirannagar, Amravati				
Student Feedback Option Sheet				
Form No. :				
Teacher Name:				
Department:				
Date:				
Student Class:				
	A	B	C	D	E
Que.1					
Que.2					
Que.3					
Que.4					
Que.5					
Que.6					
Que.7					
Que.8					
Que.9					
Que.10					
Que.11					
Que.12					
Que.13					
Que.14					
Que.15					

Arts, Commerce and Science College , Kirannagar, Amravati
Analysis Report of Feedback of Teachers given by students
Academic Session-2017-18

Sr.No.	Name of Teacher	Department	Score	Grade
1	Dr. Anna Pralhadrao Vaidya	Marathi	84.9	Excellent
2	Dr. Nakul Dnyaneshwar Gawande	English	83.96	Excellent
3	Dr. Shrikant Warhekar	Environmental Science	82.86	Excellent
4	Dr. Thakursing Thawara Rathod	History	82.71	Excellent
5	Prof. Gayatri Pathak	Environmental Science	81.86	Excellent
6	Dr. Sachin Keshavrao Tippat	Environmental Science	79.27	Good
7	Dr. Umesh Sheshrao Khandekar	Industrial Chemistry	79.27	Good
8	Prof. Rita Gupta	Computer Science	77.94	Good
9	Dr. Atish Krushnrao Maldhure	Chemistry	77.07	Good
10	Prof. Narendra Manikrao Jathe	Computer Science	76.98	Good
11	Dr. Rajashri Anand Raibhog	Economics	76.89	Good
12	Dr. Sanjaykumar Hiraman Shamkuwar	Physics	76.56	Good
13	Prof. Akansha Bhumber	English	76.2	Good
14	Dr. Ashwinikumar U. Bajpeyee	Physics	76.11	Good
15	Dr. Vidya Deokaran Sharma	Mathematics	75.87	Good
16	Dr. Dineshkumar N. Satange	Computer Science	73.05	Good
17	Dr. Pankaj Shankarrao Wankhede	Marathi	72.11	Good
18	Dr. Mangala Dilip Tambekar	Sociology	71.23	Good
19	Dr. Dattatraya Madhavrao Ratnaparkhi	Botany	71.06	Good
20	Dr. Pankaja Sudam Ingle	English	69.51	Good
21	Prof. Shital Lamkhade	Environmental Science	69.06	Good
22	Prof. Swapnil Deshpande	Computer Science	68.72	Good
23	Prof. Shrikant Prabhakar Deshmukh	Industrial Chemistry	68.72	Good
24	Dr. Shrikant Bhaurao Bansod	Chemistry	66.55	Good
25	Dr. Mahendrasing Jagdevsing Pawar	Chemistry	66.25	Good
26	Prof. Bhavana Karmore	Computer Science	66.21	Good
27	Dr. Dinesh Chandarji Nikalje	Political Science	62.32	Good
28	Dr. Vaishali Amol Meshram	Environmental Science	59.56	Average
29	Dr. Ram Nawasalkar	Computer Science	58.33	Average
30	Dr. Prashant Namdevrao Pawade	Botany	45.64	Average

- 5 Teachers (Out of 30) have achieved grade "Excellent" with more than 80% score
- 22 Teachers (Out of 30) have achieved grade "Good" with more than 60% score
- 3 Teachers (Out of 30) have achieved grade "Average" with score less than 60%
- Letter of Suggestion is being issued to the teachers having average score below 60% on one or more parameters.

Curricular Committee   IQAC   Principal
    Coordinator   Coordinator   (Dr. P.N.Pawade)